

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

## CR-102 (June 2004) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington State Department of Commerce				
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>07-19-125</u> ; or Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR     ∴ or		<ul><li>☑ Original Notice</li><li>☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR</li></ul>		
; or □ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).		Continuance of WSR		
<b>Title of rule and other identifying information:</b> (Describe Subject) The department is amending chapter 365-190 WAC, Minimum Guidelines to Classify Agricultural, Forest and Mineral Lands and Critical Areas. The department is also amending and repealing specific sections of chapter 365-195 WAC, Procedural Criteria for Adopting Comprehensive Plans and Development Regulations. The department is proposing a new chapter 365-196 WAC to replace the sections amended and repealed under chapter 365-195 WAC.				
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:			
See Attachment A.	Name: Dave Andersen, AICP Address: Growth Management Services Washington State Department of Commerce PO Box 42525 Olympia, WA 98504-2525			
Date: Time:		update@commerce.wa.gov na by (date) October 2,		
Date Time	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact			
Date of intended adoption: November 1, 2009 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)  Dave Anderse 15, 2009  TTV (360) 586		sen by September 36-0772 or ( 360 ) 725-3052		
The proposed rules address several statutory amendments to chapter 36.70A RCW, and they revise recommendations regarding county and city implementation of chapter 36.70A RCW. The proposed rule amends chapter 365-190 WAC. The proposed rule replaces WAC 365-195-010 through 365-195-865 with a new chapter 365-196 WAC for improved organization and clarity. Finally, the heading for chapter 365-195 WAC is renamed to better describe the existing sections that remain within that chapter.  Reasons supporting proposal: The original WAC guidelines were designed to assist with adoption of a local government's first full Growth Management Act (GMA) plan. Local governments have now completed this task and guidelines more appropriate and relevant to current state GMA planning are needed. Amendments to the GMA have been adopted in almost every legislative session since 1992 and, with some exceptions, have not been incorporated into the WAC. The courts and Washington State Growth Management Hearings Boards have also interpreted and clarified significant portions of the GMA. These proposed amendments bring the WAC up to date with current law and the state of the practice.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190	Statute being	g implemented: RCW 36.70A, RCW 36.70B		
Is rule necessary because of a:  Federal Law?		OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON		
DATE 7/16/09		FILED		
NAME Marie Sullivan		ATE: July 21, 2009 ME: 3:47 PM		
ManiSilhian	V	/SR 09-15-173		
SIGNATURE TITLE Government Relations Director				

## (COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comments or recommendations, matters:  No comments or recommendations.	if any, as to statutory language, implementation, er	nforcement, and fiscal
140 comments of recommendations.		
Name of proponent: (person or organization Washington State Department of Commerce		☐ Private
Tradimigion Glato Dopartinone di Gominiores		Public
Name of agency personnel responsible for	or:	
Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting David Andersen	906 Columbia St SW, Olympia, WA, 98504	(360) 725- 3052
Implementation Leonard Bauer	906 Columbia St SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 725- 3055
Enforcement The rules contain no enforcement authority.	na	( ) na
Has a small business economic impact s	tatement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?	
Yes. Attach copy of small business e	conomic impact statement.	
A copy of the statement may be	obtained by contacting:	
Name: Address:		
Address.		
phone ( )		
fax ( )		
e-mail		
☑ No. Explain why no statement was prescription.	epared.	
Chapter 365-190 WAC and chapter 365-195	WAC provide guidance to counties and cities regardin	g implementation of the
	es, but they are not binding on counties and cities. In c	
	ounties and cities may or may not choose to revise their duse ordinances. The rules are not substantive and if	
	ny penalty or sanction nor do the rules establish standa	

Although the choices made by local governments may result in some impacts to many types and sizes of businesses, these rules do not directly regulate any businesses. Instead, they provide guidance to local governments in developing their plans and regulations. Thus, it can be determined that the rules do not impose more than minor costs on businesses in an industry, and a small business economic impact statement is not required.

Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?
Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name: Address:
	phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail
(5)(a)(ii) is in	Please explain: The Department of Commerce is not listed as one of the agencies to which this section applies, of wish to make this section voluntarily applicable to the rule per subsection (5)(a)(ii). Therefore, unless subsection novoked by the joint administrative rules review committee after the filing of the CR-102, no cost benefit analysis prepared for this rule.